

CITY OF GRANT  
MINUTES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

**DATE** : April 5, 2011  
**TIME STARTED** : 7:00 p.m.  
**TIME ENDED** : 12:37 a.m.  
**MEMBERS PRESENT** : Councilmember Bohnen, Fogelson, Huber, Potter and Mayor Carr  
**MEMBERS ABSENT** : None

Staff members present: City Attorney, Nick Vivian; City Engineer, Phil Olson; City Planner, Jennifer Haskamp; and City Clerk, Kim Points

**CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Carr called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**SETTING THE AGENDA**

**Council Member Huber moved to approve the agenda as presented. Council Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

**CONSENT AGENDA**

March 1, City Council Meeting Minutes	Approved
Bill List, \$30,960.02	Approved
City of Mahtomedi, 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Fire Contract, \$27,902.50	Approved
Miller Excavating, \$15,543.40	Approved
Resolution No. 2011-05, Website Policy	Approved

**Council Member Huber moved to approve the Consent Agenda, as presented. Council Member Potter seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

1 Mr. Loren Sederstrom, 9330 107<sup>th</sup> Street, came forward and inquired about a potential conflict of  
2 interest with the cable package he has received by serving on the Planning Commission and Cable  
3 Commission.

4 Ms. Peggy Vanderet, came forward and suggested streaming the City meetings on the website for  
5 those who do not have cable.

6 Mr. Larry Lanoux, Keswick Avenue, came forward and thanked the Mayor for being present. He  
7 stated he asked that the neighborhood meeting last month be videotaped. He referred to a letter he  
8 received from Matt Dean regarding open enrollment and noted dollars do not follow students. Taxes  
9 go up for residents because of that and a school should not be on a dump site. The School District  
10 refused to video tape that meeting.

11 Mr. Brian Axdahl, 7452 Manning, came forward and stated they are ready to put the road in when the  
12 ground thaws and everything is moving forward but they are looking at different financing. He  
13 requested this issue be put on the May Council agenda.

14 Mr. Robb Jacobs, 7400 Manning Avenue, came forward and stated they have been waiting a long  
15 time for this and he does not want to wait any longer. He wants a conclusion.

16 Mr. Gary Baumann, 10060 Joliet, came forward and stated there was a meeting last week for 80<sup>th</sup>  
17 Street residents. He indicated no one contacted the Washington Conservation District and  
18 landscaping plans and berming plans are what they do.

19 Ms. Lisa Paddock, Keswick Avenue, came forward and stated she has three kids in the Mahtomedi  
20 School District, which provides a great education. She indicated she found out last week the new  
21 school is going to be built on a dump site. She expressed concern about exposing kids to toxic waste,  
22 contamination and hazardous chemicals. Several chemicals have already been found on site. She  
23 stated she knows first hand the dangers of this and trusted the EPA standards before and that resulted  
24 in great tragedy. The City has the responsibility to slow this down and review all the documents,  
25 educate everyone and ask a lot more questions.

26 Ms. Linda Johnson came forward and stated she grew up on the site as her parents owned Belaire  
27 Sanitation. The property was sold 16 years ago and there were a lot of issues with hazardous waste.  
28 The landfills have never been dug up and cleaned out. No studies have been done since the property  
29 was sold. The Board should take a closer look at where children may go to school.

30 Mr. Wally Anderson, 80<sup>th</sup> Street, came forward and stated he believes the site plan should have  
31 involved more City people and the Johnson's should have been contacted. He indicated he is against  
32 the utilities being extended due to Met Council concerns and he is also against the Jamaca access.

33 Mr. Bill David, 9131 Keswick, came forward and provided pictures of the landfill in Lake Elmo. He  
34 stated they have had to tear it up for the second time due to failure and it has been capped. The  
35 Belaire Sanitation site is an uncontrolled site and there is nothing under it. The site is dangerous for  
36 children and who is going to be responsible for that when there is a tragedy. The City needs to slow  
37 down with this project.

38 Mr. Terry Derosier came forward and stated he has had requests from residents to have packets  
39 available on the Cities website.

1 Ms. Anna Shelander, Justin Trail, came forward and stated she is concerned about the proposed site  
2 as it was a dumpsite and has not been cleaned up. The site was used for various toxic materials and  
3 the site to the west was used to bury waste. A more comprehensive study is needed. The site is also  
4 a swampland and the current elementary needs to be demolished because it is on a swamp.

5 Mr. Al Hemel, Jamaca, came forward and stated the Engineer has studied the water. Those test  
6 results have been published and he believes this is a good project and he supports it.

7 Mr. Ron Vasco came forward and stated the site is not the place for a school. He indicated he builds  
8 dumpsters and he has heard about this particular site for years. The City needs to take a step back and  
9 look more closely at this due to safety issues.

10 Mr. Gary Baumann came forward again and stated every previous plan included a well on the site.  
11 Until now the plan never said anything about running utilities. The Met Council will come into the  
12 City of Grant because of this.

13 Mr. Larry Lanoux came forward again and stated he does not want the Met Council to come to Grant  
14 and they will. Landmark did the survey and they were hired by the School District. And independent  
15 company needs to do the survey and this school will have an increase in students that do come from  
16 open enrollment.

17 Ms. Elizabeth Vasco came forward and state a family member used to work at Belaire. She visited  
18 the site and it really was bad. Not a good idea to put a school on that site and take any chances.

19

## 20 **STAFF REPORTS**

21

### 22 **City Engineer, Phil Olson**

23

24 **MS4 SWPPP, Public Hearing** – City Engineer Olson advised that as part of the Municipal Separate  
25 Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit, the City is required to hold an annual public hearing and receive  
26 comments from the public.

27

28 City Engineer Olson presented the annual MS4 information noting the 2010 MS4 achievements, 2011  
29 goals and the current status of the City's MS4 permit. He clarified that the storm sewer system does  
30 refer to the proposed School District project as well as the City's culverts.

31

32 Mayor Carr opened the public hearing at 7:45 p.m.

33

34 Mr. Larry Lanoux, Keswick Avenue, came forward and stated he is concerned about the run-off  
35 ending up in the out water systems in relation to the dumpsite.

36

37 Mayor Carr closed the public hearing at 7:46 p.m.

38

39 **2011 Class 5 Bid Authorization** – City Engineer Olson advised the City completes a class 5  
40 resurfacing project every year on scheduled roadways reviewed by the Road Commissioner. The  
41 budget for this work is \$50,000 and he requested authorization to prepare construction bidding  
42 documents.

43

1 The Council directed staff to prepare construction bidding documents for the 2011 Class 5 resurfacing  
2 project.

3  
4 **2011 Dust Control Bid Authorization** – City Engineer Olson advised the City contracts with DCI  
5 for dust control on gravel roads each year. The total budget for this work is \$40,000. There is only  
6 one company in the metro area that provides this service, DCI, although different mixes of chemical  
7 are available. Staff is working with other communities to provide this service in house; however, this  
8 process will not be complete in time for this year’s application. He requested authorization to work  
9 with DCI to complete 2011 Dust Control.

10  
11 Council Member Bohnen requested as part of the bid process, he would like the specification on the  
12 mix. He advised he would work on finding another company that provides this service.

13  
14 The Council authorized staff to work with DCI on a bid and specification.

15  
16 Mr. Allan James came forward and requested acronyms be spelled out for citizens who are attending a  
17 City meeting for the first time.

18  
19 Mr. Wally Anderson, 80<sup>th</sup> Street, came forward and stated he would like the cost per mile for dust  
20 control.

21  
22 **Grading Contract** – City Engineer Olson advised staff worked with the Road Commissioner on  
23 grading contract revisions. He reviewed the revisions that were made to the current contract relating  
24 to the company, equipment, refueling, maintenance, etc. He stated the changes made were part of the  
25 bid process and authorized by the Road Commissioner.

26  
27 Mayor Carr stated he did not have input on the contract revisions. He confirmed that staff was  
28 comfortable with those revisions but indicated he believes some of the revisions relate to policy and  
29 they should have been reviewed by the Council.

30  
31 Council Member Huber clarified the revised contract has no refueling, maintenance or travel time  
32 included.

33  
34 Council Member Bohnen advised he worked with WSB on the contract revisions and was not aware it  
35 needed to come back to the Council. He noted the City Attorney did review the contract.

36  
37 Mr. Pete Miller, Miller Excavating, came forward and stated he has had the contract for several years.  
38 He stated it was awarded in 2009 for four years and the current Council approved that contract. He  
39 stated he would like to finish out the contract and then submit another bid. He stated he did not  
40 receive a seven day notice. He met with the Road Commissioner earlier in the day and asked the  
41 Council to throw out the new bids for two more years until the contract is up.

42  
43 Council Member Bohnen provided the background on why the contract was looked at. He stated the  
44 City is strapped with budget constraints and he believes there is the opportunity to increase the

1 amount of grading that is done for the same price. He requested the Council open the bids and award  
2 the revised contract to the lowest responsible bidder.

3  
4 **Grading Bids** – City Engineer Olson advised quotes for grading services were advertised and  
5 received by the City until Tuesday, March 29, 2011.

6  
7 Council Member Huber confirmed that the reason for obtaining bids is to try and get one-third more  
8 grading for the same amount of dollars. He inquired on how to define responsible bidders as well as  
9 skilled and certified graders.

10  
11 Mr. Russo Kregor, 9415 84<sup>th</sup> Street, came forward and stated this is the first time he has had a  
12 passable road. The grading has occurred much sooner this spring and he strongly supports increased  
13 grading within the City.

14  
15 City Engineer Olson advised there were no qualifications required for this bid. He stated  
16 qualifications are important for this type of work.

17  
18 A resident came forward and stated the roads are as bad right now as they have ever been. He stated  
19 he doesn't see any improvement and his road was not plowed after the last snowfall.

20  
21 Council Member Bohnen responded that complaint and explained why there was an issue with  
22 plowing after the last snowfall.

23  
24 All submitted bids were opened by City Engineer Olson.

25  
26 **Council Member Bohnen moved to award the grading contract to Kline Brothers with the**  
27 **submittal of insurance and bonding requirements within one week. Council Member Fogelson**  
28 **seconded the motion.**

29  
30 Council Member Huber stated he has questions regarding equipment, experience and any cost savings  
31 in relation to a new bid from Miller Excavating.

32  
33 Mayor Carr stated he feels the risk is too great. He would like to have more information on the  
34 company and he does not like breaking contracts.

35  
36 Council Member Bohnen stated Miller did come in with a lower bid than the current contract.

37  
38 Mr. Pete Miller came forward and stated he purchased the grader from the City. The competitions  
39 grader is worth approximately \$3,000 and the City must be careful with what they are going to get.  
40 He stated he has worked very hard to get the City's roads in better condition. He advised Miller  
41 Excavating offers quality work, quality equipment and benefits for his employees. He noted he  
42 observed grading being done within the City last weekend with no lights that causes a safety issue.  
43 The City can keep their Attorney busy if they would like.

44  
45 Council Member Bohnen advised a resident was out grading his own road as a volunteer.

1  
2 **Mayor Carr suggested a friendly amendment to include qualifications and a resume also be**  
3 **submitted by the new contractor. Council Member Bohnen and Council Member Fogelson**  
4 **agreed to the friendly amendment.**

5  
6 **Motion carried with Council Member Huber and Mayor Carr voting nay.**

7  
8 City Attorney Vivian advised the City does need to terminate the current contract. A seven day notice  
9 is needed so approximately fourteen days from today the current contract is terminated.

10  
11 **Council Member Bohnen moved to terminate the grading contract. Council Member Potter**  
12 **seconded the motion. Motion carried with Council Member Huber and Mayor Carr voting**  
13 **nay.**

14  
15 **Staff Report** – A report was provided for March 2011 City engineering activities, to be placed on file  
16 for review.

17  
18 **City Planner, Jennifer Haskamp**

19  
20 **ISD #832, Consideration of Improvements to Existing Outdoor Stadium/Improvements and**  
21 **Expansion of Existing Tennis Courts and Ball Fields** – City Planner Haskamp City Planner  
22 Haskamp advised the Applicant, AJA, Inc., on behalf of Independent School District #832, is  
23 requesting approval to amend the existing Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for the athletic fields  
24 located on 80(X) 75th Street North and 8678 75th Street North. The site is currently developed with a  
25 high school stadium, high school, middle school and several athletic fields that serve both the school  
26 and the greater community. This request is to amend the existing CUP to include the following  
27 improvements and additions:

28 Stadium improvements: the application includes new bleachers, a new concession stand and two new  
29 ticket booths. The majority of the stadium is under the jurisdiction of the city of Mahtomedi and is  
30 currently going through their site plan review process. Only the improvements located in the City of  
31 Grant are proposed as a part of this CUP,

32  
33 Tennis courts: The applicant is proposing to resurface the existing 6 tennis courts on the site and to  
34 acid two additional tennis courts.

35  
36 Baseball fields: the addition of two tennis courts results in the need to retrofit an existing baseball  
37 field to a softball field which includes adjustments to the fencing and other field improvements. One  
38 new baseball field is also proposed as a part of his application.

39  
40 City Planner Haskamp advised that according to the City Code, the proposed uses require a  
41 Conditional Use Permit. The proposed stadium improvements and athletic field modifications fit  
42 within the context of the existing CUP that was issued in 2006. Therefore the proposed uses can be  
43 accomplished best by amending the existing CUP to keep all reviews and conditions related to  
44 athletic field or stadium improvements now (or in the future) in the same CUP.

1 City Planner Haskamp noted environmental reviews have been done in regard to the site  
2 accomodating athletic fields. She outlined the specific items included in this CUP and advised the  
3 elementary school plan is still be reviewed and the public hearing was continued to the April Planning  
4 Commission meeting.  
5

6 On March 21st the Planning Commission (PC) reviewed the amended CUP application and held a  
7 duly noticed Public Hearing. Staff presented the staff report and summarized the information with  
8 respect to consistency with the City's zoning ordinances and applicable regulations. The PC  
9 opened the public hearing and heard public testimony, a summary of the primary issues are  
10 identified as follows:  
11

12 Buffering the uses from the neighbors on the North Boundary. Many residents expressed  
13 that the athletic fields have decreased their ability to enjoy their properties because of  
14 sound and sight. They stated that the conditions of the existing CUP were not met, and  
15 that they would like to see additional buffering in the form of either vegetation, fencing,  
16 berming or some combination of screening.  
17

18 \* Concerns regarding the proximity to the NNG pipeline

19 \* Devaluation of properties due to increased traffic, noise and light pollution  
20

21 The PC closed the public hearing and discussed their concerns and those of the public. The PC  
22 discussed the utility portion of the project, related to the use of the proposed watermain  
23 connections which will provide irrigation to the fields. The PC was comfortable with the utilities  
24 being primarily handled with the Elementary School application, provided it was addressed in  
25 this amended CUP as a condition of the city Engineer's review. The Planning Commission  
26 conditioned the approval on the necessity to create a design committee that will further clarify  
27 item (4) in the draft conditions to include an updated landscaping plan focused on the northern  
28 boundary of the site. The design committee is charged with the following:  
29

30 \* The Applicant, with representatives from the neighborhood, City staff, and Becky Siekmeier  
31 the appointed liaison from the PC, shall develop a screening and buffering plan along the  
32 north boundary of the site. A meeting shall be required prior to presentation of the  
33 amended CUP to the City Council.  
34

35 City Planner Haskamp commented on the phased environmental studies that were completed  
36 explaining what each outline and the scope of each of them.  
37

38 Mr. Jeff Whisler, Activities Director, came forward and stated they without a doubt need this portion  
39 of the application to move forward even if the building itself is not approved. The athletic  
40 improvements are very much needed. He commented on the timeline as well as environmental issues.  
41

42 Mr. Dave Ray, Civil Engineer, Johnson Controls, Inc., came forward and advised Landmark has done  
43 all the environmental studies. Contaminated matersial were removed from the site and it was covered  
44 with two feet of soild. The Vrap document is available. The timeline for the athletic improvements is  
45 critical to complete the work by August 15. He reviewed potential buffering plans in relation to

1 berming, locations, and wetlands noting berming may not be a viable option. He also reviewed a  
2 landscape plan that has been developed and includes 8-10 foot trees when planted.

3  
4 Mr. Wally Anderson came forward and stated he would like to see elevating and a berm developed  
5 back along the property line along with the trees that were promised.

6  
7 Mr. Lanoux came forward and stated he would speak for Mr. Warren Johnson. The School District  
8 took Mr. Johnson's property for this project and never even talked to Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson  
9 would like the same consideration as the neighbors on 80<sup>th</sup> Street.

10  
11 Mr. Kevin McTigue, 80<sup>th</sup> Street, came forward and expressed concern regarding the elevation of the  
12 site as it is higher than the rest of the properties. Sound travels. He will be at the on-site visit to view  
13 the whole area. Drainage is also a concern and the weakening of the trees that are already there  
14 should be considered. Residents along 80<sup>th</sup> Street are also losing access to the Gateway Trail.

15  
16 Mr. Steve Wolgamot, School Board Member, provided the background on the process which began  
17 nine years ago. He stated he wants to proceed because it is about the children. The facilities are  
18 needed. He apologized for not planting trees previously but they ran out of money. He stated they  
19 would do what is necessary to move forward but trees are probably the best option. He explained the  
20 process of the environmental studies that were completed as well as the Vrap. Many studies have  
21 been done, 38 holes were drilled and no methane was found. The school site itself was not a dumping  
22 group. A slap will be provided underneath as a vapor barrier. Many precautions have been taken and  
23 the District believes it is a safe site. He asked the Council to approve this portion of the project.

24  
25 Mr. McTigue stated it is going on for nine years now and it appears it has become a race to get done.  
26 Property values will go down and the rural lifestyle threatened. Residents trusted the School District  
27 first time and are not trusting them this time.

28  
29 Mr. Lanoux stated everyone is kind of missing what should be talked about. Why isn't the School  
30 District considering merging with OH Anderson where there is plenty of land and not on a dumpsite?

31  
32 Mr. Terry Derosier came forward and stated he believes the plan should go back to the Planning  
33 Commission for further review and the detailed landscaping plan.

34  
35 Mr. John Hunick came forward and stated the project was already bid out for a reason and they are  
36 now a month out. They may have to go out for rebids as they are only good for 45 days. It is  
37 important to start this project as soon as possible.

38  
39 It was the consensus of the Council to have this portion of the project reviewed again at the next  
40 Planning Commission meeting for the purpose of reviewing the detailed landscaping plan and  
41 suggested revisions to draft conditions #1, #10 and #23 relating to coniferus species, no climb fencing  
42 and overall screening.

43  
44 Mayor Carr called for a short recess at 10:55 p.m.

45



1 Mayor Carr called the meeting back to order at 11:05 p.m.

2  
3 **Staff Report** - A report was provided for March 2011 City planning activities, to be placed on file for  
4 review.

5  
6 **City Attorney, Nick Vivian**

7  
8 **Chris's MP, Amendment to Existing CUP, Public Hearing** – City Attorney Vivian provided the  
9 background on this issue advising a judge has directed the City to issue an amended CUP to the  
10 applicant that is consistent with the findings of the previous City Planner. He reviewed the outlined  
11 conditions and the draft resolution that was provided.

12  
13 Mayor Carr opened the public hearing at 11:06 p.m.

14  
15 No one was present to speak.

16  
17 Mayor Carr closed the public hearing at 11:07 p.m.

18  
19 **Resolution No. 2011-03, Approval of Chris's MP CUP Amendment** – Resolution No. 2011-03  
20 outlined the approval and conditions of the amended CUP.

21  
22 Council Member Huber inquired about the condition relating to a buffer management plan.

23  
24 City Attorney Vivian advised the conditions outlined in the amended CUP are consistent with the  
25 original draft that was recommended by the previous planner.

26  
27 Ms. Christine Flug, Landowner, came forward and stated she was not aware of any specific watershed  
28 conditions. The MPCA is satisfied with what has been done.

29  
30 Mayor Carr asked the Council if they are supportive of enforcement if the outlined conditions are not  
31 adhered to.

32  
33 All Council Members indicated they are in support of enforcement if conditions are not adhered to.

34  
35 Council Member Bohnen referred to the enforcement outlined conditions and asked for clarification  
36 on "spot inspections".

37  
38 City Attorney Vivian stated there is a fine for any violations, period. The City is not limited to spot  
39 inspections and all inspections, including spot inspections are at the discretion of the Council.

40  
41 **Council Member Bohnen moved to adopt Resolution No. 2011-03, as presented. Council**  
42 **Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried with Council Member Huber and**  
43 **Mayor Carr voting nay.**

44

1 **Staff Report** – City Attorney Vivian provided an update on the Axdahl Final Plan and noted report  
2 for March 2011 was provided to be placed on file for review.

3  
4 **Building Inspector, Jack Kramer** – A report was from Building Inspector Kramer was provided for  
5 March 2011 to be placed on file for review.

6  
7 **OLD BUSINESS**

8  
9 **Consideration of Washington County Assessor Contract** – Council Member Potter provided the  
10 background on this issue and advised the City would save approximately \$7,500 if a contract is issued  
11 with independent assessor Mr. Todd Smith.

12  
13 **Council Member Potter moved to appoint Smith Appraisal for a three year contract to be**  
14 **modeled after the City of Birchwood contract with a mutual termination clause. Council**  
15 **Member Bohnen seconded the motion.**

16  
17 Mayor Carr advised the City had a private assessor before and it did not work out well and it also  
18 adds another layer of beaurocracy because the County still has final jurisdiction.

19  
20 Council Member Huber stated there is a savings with the contract on the table. He asked what that  
21 would offer to each resident and suggested it does not offer enough savings to each individual  
22 resident. He stated he believes a three year contract is too long.

23  
24 Council Member Potter stated the proposed contract would offer better service and there is a  
25 cancellation clause.

26  
27 City Attorney Vivian advised he would draft a contract as it is important the City have one with Smith  
28 Appraisal.

29  
30 **Motion carried with Mayor Carr voting nay.**

31  
32 **Council Member Potter moved to revise the Smith Appraisal contract to a one year contract.**  
33 **Council Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried with Mayor Carr voting nay.**

34  
35  
36  
37  
38 **Dry Hydrant Consideration, Council Member Fogelson** – Council Member Fogelson advised he  
39 has reapplied for a grant and the City will find out the status of that in June. The City should move  
40 forward with the authorized repairs.

41  
42 **Emergency Siren Consideration, Council Member Fogelson** – Council Member Fogelson advised  
43 the Emergency Preparedness Plan from 2001 needs to be updated. Volunteers are needed to help with  
44 that and the comprehensive City map of all pipelines.

1 **NEW BUSINESS**

2  
3 **Roadside Brushing, Council Member Bohnen** – Council Member Bohnen advised bids were  
4 submitted but have not been opened. He suggested they be opened and read out loud but no decisions  
5 have to be made tonight. A summary can be put together and distributed to the Council.  
6

7 **Roadside Mowing, Council Member Bohnen** – A summary of the bids will be put together and  
8 distributed to the Council.  
9

10 **Roadside Tree Trimming, Council Member Bohnen** – A summary of the bids will be put together  
11 and distributed to the Council.  
12

13 **Animal Control Contract, Council Member Bohnen** – Council Member Bohnen requested the City  
14 set up an account with Hillcrest Animal. The City currently pays all fees and if an animal is not  
15 claimed it is turned over to the animal control person.  
16

17 **Mayor Carr moved to keep the current animal control person. Council Member Fogelson**  
18 **seconded the motion.**

19  
20 Ms. Joyce Welander, 83<sup>rd</sup> Street, came forward and stated that on behalf of Mike Perron, she strongly  
21 recommends him for any City services he submitted a bid for.  
22

23 **Council Member Bohnen made a friendly amendment to modify the current agreement with**  
24 **animal control to allow the City to set up an account and animals not claimed are not turned**  
25 **over to the animal control person.**  
26

27 **Mayor Carr and Council Member Fogelson agreed to the friendly amendment.**  
28

29 **After discussion, Mayor Carr and Council Member Fogelson withdrew the motion and the**  
30 **second.**

31  
32 It was the consensus of the Council that the City's animal control contract would be on the June City  
33 Council Meeting agenda.  
34  
35

36 **Video Taping of City Meetings, Mayor Carr** – Mayor Carr reviewed the staff report included in  
37 Council packets and requested Council direction.  
38

39 Council Member Bohnen stated he would like all City meetings taped but not necessarily played back.  
40

41 Council Member Huber stated he would like all meetings taped and held at Town Hall.  
42

43 Mayor Carr stated he would like Council meetings and PC meetings taped but not work sessions.  
44

45 Mr. Derosier volunteered to run the video equipment to accommodate taping of meetings if necessary.

1  
2 Mr. Lanoux came forward and stated all meetings need to be on record. There have already been two  
3 meetings with the School District that citizens have been misled.

4  
5 **Planning Commission Training, Mayor Carr** – Mayor Carr outlined the staff report and requested  
6 Council direction regarding PC training.

7  
8 **Council Member Fogelson moved to approve the Planning Commission training from Dick**  
9 **Thompson, as presented. Council Member Potter seconded the motion. Motion carried**  
10 **unanimously.**

11  
12 **Clerk Training, Mayor Carr** – Mayor Carr outlined the staff report regarding LMC Clerk training.

13  
14 **Council Member Huber moved to authorize funding for the clerk training and mileage to and**  
15 **from that training, as presented. Council Member Potter seconded the motion. Motion carried**  
16 **with Mayor Carr voting nay.**

17  
18 **March 10, 2011 Special Council Meeting Minutes (Mayor Carr Abstain)** – A draft of the March  
19 10, 2011, Special Council Meeting Minutes was provided in the Council packets.

20  
21 **Council Member Fogelson moved to approve the March 10, 2011 Special Council Meeting**  
22 **Minutes, as presented. Council Member Potter seconded the motion. Motion carried with**  
23 **Mayor Carr abstaining.**

24  
25 **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

26  
27 **City Council Reports:**

28  
29 Council Member Fogelson advised the spring newsletter should be in mailboxes this week. The  
30 City’s annual Clean Up day is this Saturday at 9:00 a.m.

31  
32 Mayor Carr requested a proof of the newsletter be distributed to the Council prior to the actual  
33 mailing.

34  
35  
36 **CLOSED SESSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONFERRING WITH LEGAL COUNSEL AS**  
37 **PERMITTED BY THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE PURSUANT TO MINN.STAT.**  
38 **13D.05 SUBD. 3 (d) ON THE FOLLOWING LEGAL MATTERS:**

39  
40 **Nielsen v City of Grant et al**

41  
42 **Council Member Huber moved to go into closed session at 12:20 a.m. Council Member Potter**  
43 **seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**  
44

1 Council Member Fogelson moved to adjourn the closed session at 12:36 a.m. Council Member  
2 Huber seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

3

4 **COMMUNITY CALENDAR APRIL 6, THROUGH APRIL 30, 2011:**

5

6 **Clean Up Day, Saturday, April 9, 2011, Town Hall**

7

8 **Planning Commission Meeting, Monday, April 18, 2011, Town Hall, 7:00 p.m.**

9

10 **ADJOURN**

11

12 **There being no further business, Council Member Potter moved to adjourn at 12:37 a.m.**  
13 **Council Member Fogelson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

14

15

16 These minutes were considered and approved at the regular Council Meeting May 3, 2011.

17

18

19

20

21

---

Kim Points, City Clerk

---

Tom Carr, Mayor

22

23